

Volume 1, No. 8, August 2013

Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives

# ISSN 2320 - 5822

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

Available online at http://www.jgrma.info

# Some aspects on s- near-ring

Ripa Kataki

Department of mathematics, B.Borooah college , guwahati ,assam, Email: Ripakotoki@yahoo.in

Abstract: In this paper we prove some results on a distributive generated s-near ring w.r.t. a set of right complete orthogonal idempotent. If A is an ideal of a s-near ring N in which left annihilators are distributive generated then  $\frac{N}{A}$  is a s-near ring. Also we have that the classical near ring of left quotions of a s- near ring is also a s- near ring. Lastly we prove if N possesses strictly projective summand then  $\frac{J^{(l,t)}e_i}{J^{(l,t+1)}e_i}$  is either zero or simple for each tame N-group  $J^{(l,t)}e_i$ .

2010 AMS subject classification: 16D60, 16P70, 16Y30, 16N20.

Key words: Irreducible N-group, idempotensts, left annihilators, direct sum, radical, tame N-group.

## INTRODUCTION

In a near-ring N with a right identity e if  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{t} L_i(L_i \triangleleft N)$  and  $e = \sum_{i=1}^{t} e_i(e_i \in L_i)$  then  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t$  are orthogonal

idempotents and each  $e_i$  is a right identity of each  $L_i$  such that  $L_i = Ne_i$  [2]. We see more decompositions induced by idempotents in Fain [11] and Lyons [14]. The idea of near-rings in which N-subgroups form a chain gives rise to the notion of strictly nearly ordered near ring [6] which leads us to the concept of what may be called a s-near-ring.

N is said to be s-near ring if for a set  $\{e_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$  of orthogonal idempotents  $N = Ne_1 \oplus Ne_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Ne_n$  where N-subgroups of each  $Ne_i$  are linearly ordered by set inclusion.

A set of orthogonal idempotents whose sum is a right identity seems to carry some important characteristics. This relate the scharacter of near-rings together with linearly ordered principal N-subgroups in some special cases of projectivity.

Here we prove that if A is an ideal of a s- near-ring N w.r.t. a set of right complete orthogonal idempotents then  $\frac{N}{A}$  is a s-near ring. Also classical near ring of left quotients of a s-near ring is also a s- near ring. Moreover if N possesses strictly projective N-

group, in case of an invariant radical J(N) in the sense J(N)=J(N)N, then for each tame N-group  $J^{(\ell,t)}e_i$  we find  $\frac{J^{(l,t)}e_i}{J^{(l,t+1)}e_i}$  is either zero or simple.

Throughout our discussion, unless otherwise specified, N will denote a zero symmetric right near ring with 1 E N.

### 1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

The basic concepts which are used in this paper can be found in Pliz [18]. We now begin our discussion with some preliminary definitions and examples.

Two subsets A and B of an N-group are said to be linearly ordered if either  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ .

If N-subgroups of an N-group E are linearly ordered then E is called a weak slnr-group(wslnr) and if principal N-subgroups E are linearly ordered then E is called a principal weak slnr-group (pwslnr). Near ring N is called a pwslnr if it is pwslnr as an N-group.

For any two left subgroups A and B of N if we define AB as  $AB = \{\sum_{finite} a_i b_i, a_i \in A, b_i \in B\}$  then AB is a left N-subgroup in a d.g.n.r N. If A=B then AA =  $\{\sum_{finite} a_i b_i, a_i \in A, b_i \in A\}$ . Clearly (AA)A  $\neq$  A(AA). In this sense we call A(AA) as left 3- power

of A, written as  $A^{(l,3)}$ . We consider  $A^{(l,t)} = A \cdot A^{(l,t-1)}$ . Consider the near-ring N = {0,a,b,c} with addition and multiplication defined by the following tables:

#### Table 1.1

+	0	a	b	c	•	0	a	b	с
0	0	a	b	с	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	с	b	a	0	a	0	a
b	b	с	0	a	b	0	0	b	b
с	c	b	a	0	с	0	a	b	с

Here orthogonal idempotents are 0, a and b. Again No = 0, Na =  $\{0, a\}$  and Nb =  $\{0, b\}$ . Thus we see N= N0  $\oplus$  Na  $\oplus$  Nb. Also we observe that Na and Nb are wslnr-N-groups. In this sense we call, N is a s-near-ring.

In other words we define, A near-ring N is a s- near-ring if for a set  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$  of orthogonal idempotents of N, we have N=N  $e_1 \oplus N e_2 \oplus \dots \oplus N e_k$  where N  $e_i$  (i=1,2,...,k) is a wslnr-N-group.

A set  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of orthogonal idempotents of N is called a right complete orthogonal idempotents if  $e = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i$  is a right identity of N.

 $\tilde{N} = \{ 0, a, b, c \}$  is a near-ring under addition and multiplication defined by the following tables:

+	0	a	b	с	•	0	a	b	с
0	0	a	b	с	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	с	b	a	0	a	a	a
b	b	с	0	a	b	0	b	b	b
с	с	b	a	0	с	0	c	c	с

#### Table 1.2

Here orthogonal idempotents are 0 and b. Again, 0.b = 0, a.b = a, b.b.=b, c.b=c. But b.a.=b,b.c.=b. Therefore b=0+b is a right identity of N..Thus {0,b} is a set of right complete orthogonal idempotents of N.

Left annihilator of a  $\varepsilon$  N is defined as  $l(a) = \{n \varepsilon N | na = 0\}$ .

An N-groupE is irreducible (simple) if it has no proper N-subgroup (ideal) of it [16].

An N-group E is called semi simple if it is the direct sum (or sum) of simple ideals [16]. The near ring N is semi simple if N is semi simple as an N-group.

An N-group E is called tame N-group [18] if any N-subgroup of E is an ideal of E.

The radical  $J_2(N)$  is defined to be the intersection of all the annihilators of irreducible N-groups and J(N) is the intersection of all the maximal left ideals of N which are also maximal as left N-subgroups [16]. If  $l \in N$ , it is seen that  $j_2(N) = J(N)(=J)[17]$ . Radical J is called D-regular if for each a  $\epsilon$  J, we have ax  $\epsilon J$  such that a=ax. The radical of E is defined as  $J' = \cap \{M \ M \ is \ an \ ideal \ maximal \ as \ a \ N - subgroup \ of \ N\}$ .

An N-group E is called semi-simple if it is a direct sum of simple ideals.[16]

The near-ring N is semi-simple if  $_{N}N$  is semi-simple.

N is called strictly semi-simple if N is direct sum of irreducible left ideals[16]. Clearly strictly semi-simple near- rings are semi-simple.

N-group E is projective if for any N-groups A, B and for any epimorphism  $\alpha$ : A $\rightarrow$ B and homomorphism  $\beta$ : A $\rightarrow$ B, there exists a homomorphism  $\gamma$  : E $\rightarrow$ A such that  $\alpha\gamma=\beta$ .

A short exact sequence  $(s.e.s)0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{f} C \rightarrow 0$  almost splits if there is a splitting homomorphism  $g: C \rightarrow B$  such that  $fg = I_C$  (identity). The s.e.s splits if there is a normal splitting homomorphism. N-group E is strictly projective if every s.e.s splits.Clearly, a strictly projective N-group is projective.

A right near-ring  $C_{c\ell}$  containing N as a subnear-ring is called a classical near-ring of left quotients of N w.r.t. a semigroup S of distributive non-zero divisors of N if and only if

(i)  $1 \in C_{c\ell}$ , (ii) elements of S are invertible in  $C_{c\ell}$  and (iii) for each  $x \in C_{c\ell}$  there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sx \in N$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Lemma 2.1:** If left annihilators of N are distributively generated then for any set  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$  of orthogonal idempotents,  $l\left(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i\right) = l(e_1) \cap l(e_2) \cap \dots \cdot l(e_k).$ 

**Proof:** Let  $x \in l(e_1) \cap l(e_2) \cap \dots \cdot l(e_k)$ 

Then  $xe_1 = xe_2 = \dots = xe_k = 0$ 

Now  $x \in l(e_1) \Rightarrow x = \sum_{finite} \pm s_{1i}$  where  $s_{1i} \in S_1$  and  $\ell(e_1) = \langle S_1 \rangle, S_1$  is a set of distributive elements of N.

As each  $s_{1i} \in l(e_1)$ , we have  $s_{1i}e_1 = 0$ , for all i.

Then we have 
$$x(\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i) = 0 \Longrightarrow x \in l\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i\right)$$

Thus 
$$l(e_1) \cap l(e_2) \cap ... \cap l(e_k) \subseteq l(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i)$$
.....(i)

Conversely, suppose  $y \in l(\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i)$ 

Then  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \pm d_i$  where  $d_i \in T$  and  $l(\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i) = \langle T \rangle$ , T is a set of distributive elements of N.

Now  $d_i \in l(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i)$  for all i.

$$\Rightarrow d_i l\left(\sum_{i=1}^k e_i\right) = 0 \Longrightarrow d_i \in \ell(e_1), \text{ for all if }$$

Similarly, we get  $d_i \in l(e_2), \dots, d_i \in l(e_k)$ , for all i.

Hence  $y \in l(e_1) \cap l(e_2) \dots \cap l(e_k)$ .

Thus 
$$l\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i\right) \equiv l(e_1) \cap l(e_2) \dots \cap l(e_k)$$
 .....(ii).

From (i) and (ii) we get the result.

**Lemma 2.2**: If left annihilators of N are distributively generated and  $\{e_j \mid 1 \le j \le k\}$  is a set of right complete orthogonal idempotents of N then an ideal I of N can be imbedded to  $Ie_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Ie_k$ 

Proof: Define a mapping  $f: I \to Ie_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Ie_k$  by  $f(x) = (xe_1, xe_2, \ldots, xe_k)_{\mathbb{P}}$ 

For x,  $y \in I$  and  $n \in N$ , f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y) and f(nx)=nf(x)

As 
$$e = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e_j$$
 is a right identity, f is 1-1.

© JGRMA 2012, All Rights Reserved

Thus f is an N-monomorphism and so I is embedded in  $Ie_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Ie_k$ .

Note1 : As I is an ideal ,  $Ie_1 \oplus Ie_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Ie_k \subseteq I$ . Thus  $I \cong Ie_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Ie_k$ . 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1**: If N is a s- near-ring w.r.t. a set of right complete orthogonal idempotents such that left annihilators of N are distributively generated then for an ideal A of N,  $\frac{N}{A}$  is a s-near ring.

**Proof:** Let  $S = \{e_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$  be a set of right complete orthogonal idempotents of N. Then  $N = Ne_1 \oplus Ne_2 \oplus ... \oplus Ne_n$  where each  $Ne_i$  is a wslnr N-group.

As A is an ideal of N,  $Ae_i$  is an ideal of the N-group Ne<sub>i</sub> for all i = 1, 2,...,n.

Define a mapping

$$f: Ne_1 \bigoplus Ne_2 \bigoplus \dots \dots \bigoplus Ne_n \to \frac{Ne_1}{Ae_1} \oplus \frac{Ne_2}{Ae_2} \bigoplus \dots \dots \oplus \frac{Ne_n}{Ae_n} \xrightarrow{\text{by}} f(n_1e_1, \dots, n_ne_n) = (\overline{n_1e_1}, \overline{n_2e_2}, \dots, \dots, \overline{n_ne_n}) \text{ where } \overline{n_ie_i} = n_ie_i + Ae_i \text{ Then clearly } f \text{ is an N-epimorphism.}$$

Again, Ker f =  $\{(n_1e_1, \dots, n_ne_n) | f(n_1e_1, \dots, n_ne_n) = (\overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0})\}$ 

 $\cong A$ , by Lemma 2.2

Thus 
$$\frac{N}{A} \cong \frac{Ne_1}{Ae_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{Ne_n}{Ae_n}$$
.

Now define another mapping

 $\frac{N}{A} \times \frac{Ne_i}{Ae_i} \to \frac{Ne_i}{Ae_i} \text{ by}$ 

$$(n+A, \alpha e_i + Ae_i) \mapsto n \alpha e_i + Ae_i$$

, this mapping is welldefined as  $Ae_i$  is an ideal of Ne<sub>i</sub>

Also, 
$$((n+A)+(n_1+A))(\alpha e_i + Ae_i)_{-}(n+A)(\alpha e_i + Ae_i) + (n_1+A)(n_1\alpha e_i + Ae_i)$$

and  $((n+A)((n'+A)(\alpha e_i + Ae_i)) = ((n+A)(n'+A))(\alpha e_i + Ae_i)$ 

Thus  $\frac{Ne_i}{Ae_i}$  is an  $\frac{N}{A}$  -group for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

Suppose  $\overline{K}_1$  and  $\overline{K}_2$  be two  $\overline{N}$ -subgroups of  $\overline{Ne_i}$ . Then  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are N-subgroups of  $Ne_i$ . As each  $Ne_i$  is a wslnr, N-group, therefore  $K_1 \subseteq K_2(say) \implies \overline{K}_1 \subseteq \overline{K}_2$ 

Thus  $\overline{Ne_i}$  is a wslnr  $\frac{N}{A}$  -group, for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and hence  $\frac{N}{A}$  is a s near-ring.

Consider  $N=Ne_1 \oplus Ne_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Ne_n$  where each  $Ne_i$  is a wslnr N-group and  $e_i$ 's are orthogonal idempotents. If Q is the classical near ring of left quotients, then clearly  $Q = Qe_1 \oplus Qe_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Qe_n$ . Also as each  $Ne_i$  is a wslnr N-group and for any ideal K of Q we have,  $S^{-1}$  (K $\cap$  N) = K,[] so each  $Qe_i$  is a wslnr Q - group. Thus we have

**Result 3.2**: The classical near ring of left quotients of a s-near ring is also a s-near ring. In the following result N is a pwslnr and left annihilators of N are distributively generated. Also N satisfies the acc on left N-subgroups and each summand of N is a strictly projective N-group with invariant radical J(N) such that J(N) = J(N)N.

**Result 3.3:** If N is a s-near-ring w.r.t. a set  $\{e_i, 1 \le i \le k\}$  of right complete orthogonal idempotents then  $\frac{J^{(l,t)}e_i}{J^{(l,t+1)}e_i}$  is either zero or simple for each tame N-group  $\mathbf{J}^{(\ell,t)}\mathbf{e}_i$   $t \ge 0$ .

**Proof:** Here N=N  $e_1 \oplus N e_2 \oplus \dots \oplus N e_k$  where each N  $e_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$  is a wslnr N-group.

Now J(Ne<sub>i</sub>)=J(N) Ne<sub>i</sub> [13]

=(J(N)N) 
$$e_i = J(N) e_i = J e_i$$
, where J(N) =J

Then  $Je_i$  is the unique ideal maximal as N-subgroup and so each  $\frac{Ne_i}{Je_i}$ , is an irreducible N-group for all i= 1,2,...,k.

Define a mapping

 $\phi: Ne_1 \oplus .... \oplus Ne_k \rightarrow \frac{Ne_1}{Je_1} \oplus .... \oplus \frac{Ne_k}{Je_k} \text{ by}$ 

$$\phi(n_1e_1,\ldots,n_ne_n) = (\overline{n_1e_1},\ldots,\overline{n_ne_n}), \text{where } \overline{n_ie_i} = n_ie_i + Je_i$$

© JGRMA 2012, All Rights Reserved

Clearly  $\phi$  is an N-epimorphism.

Also ker 
$$\phi = \{(n_1e_1, \dots, n_ke_k) \mid \phi(n_1e_1, \dots, n_ke_k) = (\overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0})\}$$

$$= \{ (n_1 e_1, \dots, n_k e_k) \mid n_i e_i \in J e_i, 1 \le i \le k \} = J e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus J e_k \cong J, \text{ by Lemma 2.2}$$

So, 
$$\frac{N}{J} \cong \frac{Ne_1}{Je_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{Ne_k}{Je_k}$$
.

J being invariant,  $\frac{N}{J}$  is a near-ring.

Define another mapping

$$\alpha: \frac{N}{J} \times \frac{Ne_i}{Je_i} \to \frac{Ne_i}{Je_i} \quad by$$

 $\alpha(\overline{n,n_ie_i}) = \overline{nne_ie_i}$ 

It can be easily seen that  $\alpha$  is well defined

Suppose 
$$\frac{Ke_i}{Je_i}$$
 be a proper  $\frac{N}{J}$  sub-group of  $\frac{Ne_i}{Je_i}$ .

Then  $Ke_i$  is a proper N-subgroup of  $Ne_i$  hence  $\frac{Ke_i}{Je_i}$  is an N-subgroup of  $\frac{Ne_i}{Je_i}$  which is a contradiction.

Thus 
$$\frac{Ne_i}{Je_i}$$
 is an irreducible  $\frac{N}{J}$ -group.

By lemma 3.5 [6], 
$$J^{(\ell, t+1)}$$
 is an ideal of  $J^{(\ell, t)}$ .

 $\text{Therefore, } J^{\left(\ell,\,t+1\right)} \, e^{\phantom{\dagger}}_i \text{ is an ideal of } J^{\left(\ell,\,t\right)} \, e^{\phantom{\dagger}}_i.$ 

Now suppose 
$$\frac{Me_i}{J^{(\ell, t+1)}e_i}$$
 be an  $\frac{N}{J}$ -subgroup of  $\frac{J^{(\ell, t)}e_i}{J^{(\ell, t+1)}e_i}$ 

Then  $Me_i$  is an N-subgroup of  $J^{\left(\ell,t\right)}e_i(\subseteq N)$ .

As for each  $t \ge 0$ ,  $J^{(\ell, t)} e_i$  is a tame N-group, therefore  $Me_i$  is an ideal of  $J^{(\ell, t)} e_i$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{Me_i}{J^{\left(\ell, t+1\right)}e_i} \text{ is } \frac{N}{J} \text{ ideal of } \frac{J^{\left(\ell, t\right)}e_i}{J^{\left(\ell, t+1\right)}e_i}$$

Thus 
$$\frac{N}{J}$$
 -subgroups of  $\frac{J^{(\ell,t)}e_i}{J^{(\ell,t+1)}e_i}$  are ideals,  $t \ge 0$ .

Hence  $\frac{N}{J}$  is the direct sum of irreducible left ideals. Therefore  $\frac{N}{J}$  is semi-simple and has the dcc on left ideals[15].

Therefore 
$$\frac{J^{(\ell,t)}e_i}{J^{(\ell,t+1)}e_i}$$
 is semi-simple as  $\frac{N}{J}$ -group [15]. As each Ne<sub>i</sub> are wslnr, therefore  $\frac{J^{(\ell,t)}e_i}{J^{(\ell,t+1)}e_i}$  is either zero or simple.

#### RFFERENCES

- Baruah, M.N, "Near-rings and near-ring modules –some special types" (dissertation for Ph.D.), Naya Prokash, Culcutta, 1984.
- [2.] Beidleman, j.C: "Non semi-simple distributively generated near-rings with minimum condition, Math, Abb. 170 (1967), 206-213.
- [3.] Chatters, A.W. and Hajarnavis, C.R. : Rings with chain conditions, pitmam Publishing Program, Boston, 1980.
- [4.] Choudhruy, S.C.: On near-rings and near-ring modules, Ph.D. dissertation, IIT, Kanpur,
- [5.] Chowdhury, K.C. and Saikia, Helan K. : On near-rings with acc on annihilators, Mathematica Pannonica, 8/2 (1997). 177-185.
- [6.] Chowdhury, K.C., Kataki, R. and De. B : On near-ring radicals and N-subgroups forming chain, Far east J. Math., Sci. (FJMS) 2(\$) (2000), 577-595.
- [7.] De Stefano, S.and Di Sieno, S.: Semiprime near-ings, J. Austral. Math Soc. (Series A0 51 (1991), 88-94.
- [8.] Divinski, N : D-regularity, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 9(1958).
- [9.] Eisenbud, D and Griffith, P. : Serial rings, J. of Algebra, 17 (1971
- [10.] Forhlich, A.: Distributively generated near-rings-I (Ideal theory), Proc. Lon Math. Soc. 8(1958), 76
- [11.] Fain C.G.: Some structure theorems for near-rings, doctoral dissertation, University of Oklahama, 1968.
- [12.] Goodearl, K.R. : Ring theory, Non singular Rings and modules, Marcel dekkan Inc., New york, 1976.

- [13.] Helen k. Saikia, K.C.Chowdhury :On near-ring subgroups of projective and d-near ring groups, Bull, Callcutta Math.Soc. 88(1996) 63-70.oups of projective and d-near ring groups, Bull, Callcutta Math.Soc. 88(1996) 63-70.
- [14.] Ligh, S.: On regular near-rings, Mathematica Japonica, Vol 15, No.1,1970.
- [15.] Lyons C.G.: Endomorphism Near-rings, Oberwallfach, 1972.
- [16.] Mason, Gordon.:Injective and projective near-ring modules, Compositio Mathemetica , 33(1)(1976),43-54.
- [17.] Oswald A.: Semi simple Near rings having the maximal condition on subgroups, J.London Math.Soc. (2) 11, 1975, 408-412.
- [18.] Pilz, G.:Near-rings (the theory and its applications) North Holland Publ. Comp. 1977.
- [19.] Rao, R.S., Prasad, K.S. : A radical for right near rings, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics.
- [20.] Tiwari, K and Seth, V.: Classical near-rings of left and right
- [21.] Warfield, R.B.: Serial rings and finitely presented modules, J. of Algebra, 37(1975), 187-222.