

ON SOME RELATIONS CONNECTING FLUID DYNAMICS AND BI-COMPLEX ANALYSIS

Sanjib Kumar Datta¹, Sudipta Kumar Pal² and Satavisha Dey³

^{1&2}Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani,
 P.O.-Kalyani, Dist.-Nadia, PIN-741213
 West Bengal, India.

Email-id: sanjibdatta05@gmail.com, sudipta.pal07@yahoo.com.

³Department of Mathematics Bijoy Krishna Girls' College
 M.G. Road, Howrah-711101 West Bengal, India.

Email id: itzmesata@gmail.com.

Abstract: In the paper our main target is to derive some results focusing some connection between fluid dynamics and bi-complex analysis which in fact is the most recent mathematical tool to develop the theory of complex analysis.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 30D30, 30D35, 76A02.

Keywords and Phrases: Potential fluid flow, Ψ -order (Ψ -lower order), Ψ -zero order (Ψ -zero lower order), bicomplex number, bicomplex potential, composition, growth indicators, idempotent representation, factorization.

1 INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS.

The most recent advancement of the theory of complex numbers lies in the progress of bi-complex analysis. According to Segree [9], a bi-complex number ξ is defined as follows:

$$\xi = x_0 + i_1 x_1 + i_2 x_2 + i_1 i_2 x_3$$

where x_0, x_1, x_2 and x_3 are real numbers with $i_1^2 = i_2^2 = -1$ and $i_1 i_2 = i_2 i_1$.

The set of all bi-complex numbers is generally denoted by C_2 . In the theory of bi-complex numbers, the sets of real numbers and complex numbers are generally denoted by C_0 and C_1 respectively. Thus

$$C_2 = \{\xi : \xi = x_0 + i_1 x_1 + i_2 x_2 + i_1 i_2 x_3 ; x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in C_0\}.$$

Equivalently, we may write $C_2 = \{\xi : \xi = z_1 + i_2 z_2 ; z_1 z_2 \in C_1\}$.

We have seen some illuminating works on the recent advancement of different aspect of bi-complex analysis in Michiji Futagawa [2], E. Hille [3], D. Riley [4], G. Baley Price [1]. In the present paper we would like to establish some results in fluid dynamics in close-connection to bi-complex analysis. In fact, the paper is an improved version of Datta and Sen [15] and therefore all the preliminary theories and definitions on bi-complex analysis as required here are omitted.

Now, let us define a function as follows:

Let $\Psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing unbounded function, satisfying the following two conditions:

$$(i) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]}(r)}{\log^{[q]}[\Psi(r)]} = 1$$

and

$$(ii) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[q]}(ar)}{\log^{[q]}\Psi(r)} = 1,$$

for some $\alpha > 1$ and p, q are any two positive integers.

With the help of function Ψ as defined earlier the following definitions may be given :

Definition 1. The Ψ –order $\rho_{(F,\Psi)}$ of a bicomplex meromorphic function $F(w) = F_{e_1}(z_1 - i_1 z_2)e_1 + (z_1 + i_1 z_2)e_2$

$$\text{is defined as} \quad \rho_{(F,\Psi)} = \max \left\{ \rho_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}, \rho_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)} \right\}$$

where

$$\rho_{F_{e_i},\Psi} = \limsup_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i})}{\log [\Psi(r_i)]} \text{ for } i = 1, 2$$

Remark 1. The Ψ –lower order $\lambda_{(F,\Psi)}$ of a bicomplex meromorphic function is defined as

$$\lambda_{(F,\Psi)} = \min \left\{ \lambda_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}, \lambda_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)} \right\},$$

where

$$\lambda_{(F_{e_i},\Psi)} = \liminf_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i})}{\log [\Psi(r_i)]} \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Remark 2. The Ψ –hyper order $\bar{\rho}_{(F,\Psi)}$ (Ψ –hyper lower order $\bar{\lambda}_{(F,\Psi)}$) and the generalized Ψ -order $\rho_{(F,\Psi)}^{(k)}$ (generalized Ψ -lower order $\lambda_{(F,\Psi)}^{(k)}$) can also be defined in a similar way.

Definition 2. The Ψ -type of F $\sigma_{(F,\Psi)}$ of a bicomplex meromorphic function is defined as

$$\sigma_{(F,\Psi)} = \max \left\{ \sigma_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}, \sigma_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)} \right\}$$

where

$$\sigma_{(F_{e_i},\Psi)} = \limsup_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i}, \Psi)}{\Psi(r_i)} \text{ and } 0 < \rho_{(F_{e_i},\Psi)} < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Definition 3. Let $F(w)$ be an entire function of Ψ –order zero. Then the quantities $\rho_{(F,\Psi)}^*$ and $\lambda_{(F,\Psi)}^*$ can be defined as

$$\rho_{(F,\Psi)}^* = \max \left\{ \rho_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}^*, \rho_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)}^* \right\}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \lambda_{(F,\Psi)}^* = \min \left\{ \lambda_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}^*, \lambda_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)}^* \right\}$$

$$\text{where } \rho_{(F_{e_i},\Psi)}^* = \limsup_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_i)]}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \lambda_{F_{e_i}}^* = \liminf_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_i)]} \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Definition 4. Let $F(w)$ be an entire function order zero. Then the quantities $\rho_{(F,\Psi)}^{**}$ and $\lambda_{(F,\Psi)}^{**}$ can be defined as

$$\rho_{(F,\Psi)}^{**} = \max \left\{ \rho_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}^{**}, \rho_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)}^{**} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_{(F,\Psi)}^{**} = \min \left\{ \lambda_{(F_{e_1},\Psi)}^{**}, \lambda_{(F_{e_2},\Psi)}^{**} \right\} \text{ where}$$

$$\rho_{F_{e_i}}^{**} = \limsup_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i})}{\log [\Psi(r_i)]} \text{ and } \lambda_{F_{e_i}}^{**} = \liminf_{r_i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_i(r_i, F_{e_i})}{\log [\Psi(r_i)]} \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Definition 5. (Factorization of $F(w)$) Let $F(w)$ be a bicomplex meromorphic function on $T \subset C_2$. Then F is said to have f and g as left and right factors respectively if F_{ei} has f_{ei} and g_{ei} as left and right factors respectively for $i=1,2$, i.e., f_{ei} is meromorphic and g_{ei} is entire for $i=1,2$.

Definition 6. (Complex potential flow) If $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y) \in C_1$ be a complex function where $u(x, y) \in R^2$ and $v(x, y) \in R^2$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations, i.e., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ and Laplace's equation, i.e., $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$, then $f(z)$ can be termed as a complex potential fluid flow.

Definition 7. (Bicomplex potential fluid flow) If $f(w) = f(z_1 + i_2 z_2) = f_{e_1}(z_1 - i_1 z_2)e_1 + f_{e_2}(z_1 + i_1 z_2)e_2$ be the idempotent composition of two complex functions, with $f_{e_1}(z_1 - i_1 z_2) = u(z_1, z_2) - i_1 v(z_1 - z_2) \in C_1$ and $f_{e_2}(z_1 + i_1 z_2) = u(z_1, z_2) + i_1 v(z_1, z_2) \in C_1$ where $u(z_1, z_2)$ and $v(z_1, z_2)$ satisfy Cauchy-Riemann equations and Laplace's equation, i.e., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_2}$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z_2} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial z_1}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_2^2} = 0$, $\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z_2^2} = 0$, therefore $f_{e_1}(z_1 - i_1 z_2)$ and $f_{e_2}(z_1 + i_1 z_2)$ can be termed as complex potential fluid flows. So, $f(w)$ can be termed as a composition of two different potential fluid flows f_{e_1} and f_{e_2} .

3 LEMMA.

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1 [8] [15]. If $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ be complex potential fluid flow defined in the region $\{y > 0\}$ satisfying the following properties :

- (i) $f(z)$ is continuously differentiable in the region $\{y \geq 0\}$,
- (ii) $f'(z)$ is parallel to the x -axis when $y = 0$ and
- (iii) $f'(z)$ is uniformly bounded in $\{y > 0\}$, then the order and lower order of $f(z)$ are zero.

Corollary 1. If $f(w) = f(z_1 + i_2 z_2) = f_{e_1}(z_1 - i_1 z_2)e_1 + f_{e_2}(z_1 + i_1 z_2)e_2$ be an idempotent composition of two complex potential fluid flows satisfying the following properties :

- (i) f_{e_1} and f_{e_2} are continuously differentiable in the region $\{y \geq 0\}$
- (ii) f_{e_1} and f_{e_2} are parallel to the x -axis when $y = 0$ and
- (iii) f_{e_1} and f_{e_2} are uniformly bounded in $\{y > 0\}$, then the order and lower order of $f(w)$ are zero.

Lemma 2 [14]. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are any two entire functions, then for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right) - |g(0), f|\right) \leq M(r, f \circ g) \leq M(M(r, g), f).$$

Lemma 3[14]. If f be entire and g be a transcendental entire function of finite lower order, then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g) \geq M(M(r, g), f). \quad (r \geq r_0)$$

Lemma 4 [13]. If F has f and g as left and right factors, then we always have the following factorization :

$$F(w) = f(g(w)).$$

4 THEOREMS.

In this section we present our main results of the paper.

Theorem 1. If $f(w) = f(z_1 + i_2 z_2) = f_{e_1}(z_1 - i_1 z_2)e_1 + f_{e_2}(z_1 + i_1 z_2)e_2$ be an idempotent composition of two complex potential fluid flows f_{e_1} and f_{e_2} satisfying the following properties :

- (i) f_{e_1} and f_{e_2} are continuously differentiable in the region $\{y \geq 0\}$
- (ii) f'_{e_1} and f'_{e_2} are parallel to x -axis when $y = 0$ and
- (iii) f'_{e_1} and f'_{e_2} are uniformly bounded in $\{y > 0\}$,

$$\text{then } \rho'_f = 1 \text{ and } \lambda'_f = 1.$$

Proof. From the definitions of $\rho_{f,\psi}^{**}$ and $\lambda_{f,\psi}^{**}$ and using Definition 4, we have for arbitrary positive $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and all sufficiently large values of r_1, r_2

$$\log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1}) \leq (\rho_{(f_{e_1}, \psi)}^{**} + \varepsilon_1) \log[\Psi(r_1)] \text{ and}$$

$$\log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2}) \leq (\rho_{(f_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} + \varepsilon_2) \log[\Psi(r_2)]$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1}) \leq \log \log[\Psi(r_1)] + O(1)$$

$$\text{and } \log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2}) \leq \log \log[\Psi(r_2)] + O(1)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} \leq \frac{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)] + O(1)}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2})}{\log \log r_2} \leq \frac{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)] + O(1)}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} \leq 1 \text{ and } \limsup_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]} \leq 1$$

i.e., $\rho_{f_{e_1}, \psi}^* \leq 1$ and $\rho_{f_{e_2}, \psi}^* \leq 1$ and therefore using Definition 3,

$$\text{we have } \rho_{f, \psi}^* \leq 1. \tag{1}$$

Similarly, proceeding as above and using Definition 3,

$$\text{we have } \lambda_{f, \psi}^* \leq 1. \tag{2}$$

Again, for arbitrary positive $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ and all sufficiently large values of r_1, r_2 we have

$$\log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1}) \geq (\lambda_{(f_{e_1}, \psi)}^{**} - \varepsilon_1) \log[\Psi(r_1)]$$

$$\text{and } \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2}) \geq (\lambda_{(f_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} - \varepsilon_2) \log[\Psi(r_2)]$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1}) \geq \log \log [\Psi(r_1)] + O(1)$$

$$\text{and } \log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2}) \geq \log \log [\Psi(r_2)] + O(1)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} \geq \frac{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)] + O(1)}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]}$$

and

$$\frac{\log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]} \geq \frac{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)] + O(1)}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} \geq 1$$

$$\text{and } \limsup_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]} \geq 1$$

i.e., $\rho_{(f_{e_1}, \Psi)}^* \geq 1$ and $\rho_{(f_{e_2}, \Psi)}^* \geq 1$ and therefore using Definition 3,

$$\text{we have } \rho_{f, \Psi}^* \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, proceeding as above and using Definition 3,

$$\text{we have } \lambda_{f, \Psi}^* \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

From (1) and (3) we have $\rho_{f, \Psi}^* = 1$ and from (2) and (4) we have $\lambda_{f, \Psi}^* = 1$.

Thus the theorem follows.

Example 1. Let $f(w) = w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 = (z_1 - i_1 z_2)e_1 + (z_1 + i_1 z_2)e_2 \in C_2$ (bicomplex space) be a bicomplex potential fluid flow in C_2 .

Therefore, $f_{e_1} = z_1 - i_1 z_2 \in C_1$ (or C) and $f_{e_2} = z_1 + i_1 z_2 \in C_1$ (or C)

$$\text{Therefore, } \rho_{f_{e_1}, \Psi} = \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1})}{\log [\Psi(r_1)]} = 0 \text{ as } M_1(r_1, f_{e_1}) \leq |z_1 - i_1 z_2| \leq r_1 + r_2$$

$$\text{and } \rho_{(f_{e_2}, \Psi)} = \limsup_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2})}{\log [\Psi(r_2)]} = 0 \text{ as } M_2(r_2, f_{e_2}) \leq |z_1 + i_1 z_2| \leq r_1 + r_2$$

Hence $\rho_{f, \Psi}^* = 0$ and similarly $\lambda_{f, \Psi}^* = 0$.

$$\rho_{(f_{e_1}, \Psi)}^* = \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} = \limsup_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log (r_1 + r_2)}{\log \log [\Psi(r_1)]} = 1, \text{ } r_1 \text{ is fixed.}$$

$$\text{And } \rho_{(f_{e_2}, \Psi)}^* = \limsup_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_2(r_2, f_{e_2})}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]} = \limsup_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log (r_1 + r_2)}{\log \log [\Psi(r_2)]} = 1, \text{ } r_2 \text{ is fixed.}$$

Therefore, $\rho_{f, \Psi}^* = 1$ and similarly $\lambda_{f, \Psi}^* = 1$.

Similarly, we can also show that $\rho_{f, \Psi}^{**} = 1$ and $\lambda_{f, \Psi}^{**} = 1$.

Theorem 2. Let $f(w)$ and $g(w)$ be any two bicomplex potential fluid flows satisfying the following properties :

- (i) f_{e_1}, f_{e_2} are continuously differentiable in the region $\{y \geq 0\}$
- (ii) f_{e_1}, f_{e_2} are parallel to the x -axis when $y = 0$
- (iii) f_{e_1}, f_{e_2} are uniformly bounded in $\{y > 0\}$, such that $\rho_f = 0$ and $\lambda_f < \infty$.

Then $\rho_{f,\psi} = \rho_{g,\psi}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 4, we can say that $F(w)$ can be factorized to $f(g(w))$. Now, using Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(F_{e_1}, \psi)} &= \rho_{\{(f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1}), \psi\}} = \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1, f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1})}{\log[\Psi(r_1)]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(M(r_1, g_{e_1}), f_{e_1})}{\log \log M(r_1, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r_1, g_{e_1})}{\log[\Psi(r_1)]} \\ &\leq \rho_{(f_{e_1}, \psi)}^* \cdot \rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)} = 1 \cdot \rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)} = \rho_{g(e_1, \psi)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\rho_{(F_{e_2}, \psi)} \leq \rho_{(g_{e_2}, \psi)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{F, \psi} &= \rho_{\{(f \circ g), \psi\}} \leq \max\{\rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)}, \rho_{(g_{e_2}, \psi)}\} = \rho_{g, \psi}, \\ \text{i.e., } \rho_{F, \psi} &\leq \rho_{g, \psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now using Lemma 3 and Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(F_{e_1}, \psi)} &= \rho_{\{(f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1}), \psi\}} = \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_1(r_1^{1+\delta}, f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1})}{\log[\Psi(r_1^{1+\delta})]} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(M(r_1, g_{e_1}), f_{e_1})}{\log \log M(r_1, g_{e_1})} \cdot \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r_1, g_{e_1})}{\log[\Psi(r_1)]} \\ &\geq \lambda_{(f_{e_1}, \psi)}^* \cdot \rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)} = 1 \cdot \rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)} = \rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\rho_{(F_{e_2}, \psi)} \geq \rho_{(g_{e_2}, \psi)}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{F, \psi} &= \rho_{\{(f \circ g), \psi\}} \geq \max\{\rho_{(g_{e_1}, \psi)}, \rho_{(g_{e_2}, \psi)}\} = \rho_{g, \psi}, \\ \text{i.e., } \rho_{F, \psi} &\geq \rho_{g, \psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore from (5) and (6), the result follows.

Theorem 3. Let $f(w)$ and $g(w)$ be any two bicomplex potential fluid flows satisfying the following properties :

(i) $f(w)$ is entire and $g(w)$ is transcendental such that $\rho_{fog} = 0$ and $\lambda_g < \infty$.

Then $\rho_{f,\psi}^{**} \lambda_{g,\psi}^{**} \leq \rho_{(fog),\psi}^{**} \leq \rho_{f,\psi}^{**} \rho_{g,\psi}^{**}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(F_{e_1}, \psi)}^{**} &= \rho_{\{(f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1}), \psi\}}^{**} = \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_1(r_1^{1+\delta}, f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1})}{\log [\Psi(r_1^{1+\delta})]} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_1(M_1(r_1, g_{e_1}), f_{e_1})}{\log M_1(r_1, g)} \cdot \liminf_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_1(r_1, g_{e_1})}{\log [\Psi(r_1)]} \\ &= \rho_{f_{e_1}}^{**} \lambda_{g_{e_1}}^{**}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\rho_{(F_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} = \rho_{\{(f_{e_2} \circ g_{e_2}), \psi\}}^{**} \geq \rho_{(f_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} \cdot \lambda_{(g_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**}.$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{fog, \psi}^{**} = \max \left\{ \rho_{\{(f_{e_1}, \psi) \circ (g_{e_1}, \psi)\}}^{**}, \rho_{\{(f_{e_2}, \psi) \circ (g_{e_2}, \psi)\}}^{**} \right\} \geq \rho_{f, \psi}^{**} \cdot \lambda_{g, \psi}^{**} \quad (7)$$

Again, by using Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(F_{e_1}, \psi)}^{**} &= \rho_{f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1}, \psi}^{**} = \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_1(r_1^{1+\delta}, f_{e_1} \circ g_{e_1})}{\log [\Psi(r_1^{1+\delta})]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_1(M_1(r_1, g_{e_1}), f_{e_1})}{\log M_1(r_1, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_1(r_1, g_{e_1})}{\log [\Psi(r_1)]} \\ &= \rho_{f_{e_1}}^{**} \rho_{g_{e_1}}^{**}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\rho_{(F_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} = \rho_{\{(f_{e_2} \circ g_{e_2}), \psi\}}^{**} \leq \rho_{(f_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} \cdot \rho_{(g_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**}.$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{(fog), \psi}^{**} = \max \left\{ \rho_{(f_{e_1}, \psi) \circ (g_{e_1}, \psi)}^{**}, \rho_{(f_{e_2}, \psi) \circ (g_{e_2}, \psi)}^{**} \right\} \leq \rho_{f, \psi}^{**} \rho_{g, \psi}^{**}. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, from (7) and (8) the result follows.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECTS:

The estimates and derivation carried out in the paper may also be established under the treatment of bicomplex valued functions of slower and faster growth.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. B. Price : An introduction to multiplex spaces and functions, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1991.
- [2] Michiji Futagawa : On the theory of functions of a quaternary variable, Tôhoku Math. J. Vol. 29 (1928), pp. 175-222 ; Vol. 35 (1932), pp. 69-120.
- [3] E. Hille : Analytic Function Theory, Chelsea Publishing, New York, Vol. I (1982), xi+308 pp.; Vol. II (1977), xii+496 pp.
- [4] James D. Riley : Contributions to the theory of functions of a bicomplex variable, Tôhoku Math. J. 2nd series, Vol. 5 (1953), pp. 132-165.

- [5] G. K. Batchelor : An introduction to fluid dynamics, Cambridge Mathematical Library (1967).
- [6] J. Clunie : The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio University Press (1970), pp. 75-92.
- [7] L. Liao and C.C. Yang : On the growth of composite entire functions, Yokohama Math. J., Vol. 46 (1999), pp. 97-107.
- [8] J. Rauch : Some fluid flows, Applied Complex Analysis (Ref. Website : www.math.lsa.umich.edu).
- [9] C. Segre : Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse degli enti iperalgebrici, Math. Ann., Vol. 40 (1892), 413-467.
- [10] G. Valiron : Lectures on the general theory of integral functions, Chelsea Publishing Company (1949).
- [11] T. Yokoyama : Fluid Mechanics, Topology and Complex Analysis (Ref.Website www.stat.phys.titech.ac.jp/yokoyama/note4.pdf).
- [12] G. D. Song and C. C. Yang : Further growth properties of composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, Vol. 15 (1984) No. 1, pp. 67-82.
- [13] K. S. Charak and D. Rochon : On factorization of bicomplex meromorphic functions, Quaternionic and Clifford Analysis, Trends in Mathematics, pp. 55-68© 2008 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland.
- [14] S. K. Datta and T. Biswas : On some further results of growth properties of composite entire and meromorphic functions, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 3, No. 29 (2009), pp. 1413-1428.
- [15] S. K. Datta and P. Sen : Deduction of some relations connecting Bicomplex Analysis and Fluid Dynamics, Int. J. of Adv. Sci. & Tech. Research, Issue 3, Vol. 3, May-June 2013, pp. 60-69.